



REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
WANAMAKER BUILDING, 100 PENN SQUARE EAST  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19107-3390

~~2619~~  
2505

JUL 12 2006

Construction Branch

SUBJECT: Contract W912BU-05-C-0013, Building 29 Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, PA

Kalimex, Inc.  
1300 Stagecoach Road  
Ocean View, NJ 08320

Gentlemen:

I would like to commend you on the outstanding performance displayed under Contract Number W912BU-05-C-0013, Building 29 Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, PA. A copy of your final performance evaluation, DD Form 2626, is enclosed.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (215) 656-6770.

Sincerely,

Robert Sharamatew  
Contracting Officer

Enclosure

CF: CENAP-EC-C

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION  
(CONSTRUCTION)**

1. CONTRACT NUMBER  
W912BU-05-C-0013 NA  
2. CEC NUMBER

IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.

**PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA**

3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)  INTERIM (List Percentage \_\_\_\_\_%)  FINAL  AMENDED  TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT

5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP code)  
Kalimex, Inc.  
1300 Stagecoach Road  
Ocean View, NJ 08320

6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one)  SEALED BID  NEGOTIATED

b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one)  FIRM FIXED PRICE  COST REIMBURSEMENT  
 OTHER (Specify)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK  
Modifications to Building 29, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard  
Philadelphia, PA

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING  
27% Mechanical 1% Masonry  
13% Electrical  
3% Fire Protection-Systems  
2% Flooring/Tile  
1% Painting/Wall Covering

9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$1,074,710.00	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$120,237.00	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED \$0.00	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$1,194,847.00
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 08/03/2005	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 07/22/2006	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 04/12/2006	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 05/05/2006

**PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR**

11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)  
 OUTSTANDING  ABOVE AVERAGE  SATISFACTORY  MARGINAL  UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in item 20 on reverse)

12. EVALUATED BY

a. ORGANIZATION ((Name and Address (Include Zip Code))  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Office Construction Branch Headqtrs

b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)  
215-656-6612

c. NAME AND TITLE  
John E. Tunnell  
Chief, Construction Branch

d. SIGNATURE  


e. DATE  
6/8/2006

13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY

a. ORGANIZATION ((Name and Address (Include Zip Code))  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Office Construction Branch Headqtrs

b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)  
215-656-6770

c. NAME AND TITLE  
Robert Sharamatew  
Contracting Officer

d. SIGNATURE  


e. DATE  
6/9/2006

14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)

**PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS**

**CONTRACT NUMBER**  
W912BU-05-C-0013 NA

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15.QUALITY CONTROL		N/A	O	A	S	M	U	16.EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT		N/A	O	A	S	M	U
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X					a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS		X					
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN				X				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES / PERSONNEL		X					
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN			X					c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTORS		X					
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION				X				d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP				X			
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS			X					e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION		X					
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X					f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS				X			
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS				X				g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			X				
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING				X				h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES			X				
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS			X					i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN			X				
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X					<b>18.COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS</b>							
k. IDENTIFICATION / CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			X					a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES		X					
<b>17.TIMELY PERFORMANCE</b>								b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED			X				
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE			X					c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION OF THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS			X				
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE			X					<b>19.COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS</b>							
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS			X					a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION			X					b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS			X					c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X				
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES				X				<b>20.REMARKS</b> (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)							
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE				X											

**Section 15 Quality Control:**

a. Quality of Workmanship - Superior quality of workmanship is credited to the entire Kalimex team; from the very experienced Project Manager and Site Superintendent/ CQC Manager down to the hand picked professional tradesmen that worked on this project. All Kalimex subcontractors had a very professional approach to quality control and have worked with Kalimex for many years. The entire Kalimex team worked extremely well together to deliver an outstanding, quality product 3 months ahead of the original scheduled completion date. The team exhibited a high level of pride in their workmanship and all members of the team were very active and involved with their quality control program. Kevin O'Brien, from the first time I met him, stated that he would deliver a great project, on time with high quality. During the pre-final and final inspections, this was clearly the case and was noted by all parties who were part of the inspection team (USACE and Navy Representatives).

c. Implementation of the CQC Plan - Pete Chero, Site Superintendent/CQC Manager conducted a comprehensive preparatory meeting prior to each definable feature of work, and made sure the individual who was actually performing the work attend the meeting. Every meeting was extremely well prepared and thought out, which had a direct influence on the high quality project that was delivered.

e. f. j. k. Storage and Adequacy of Materials - All materials were stored and covered properly in a controlled climate. Deficient materials were not allowed to remain on the site. Pete Chero ensured all deficient materials were removed off site before the supplier left the site. All materials were checked against the approved submittals at delivery, prior to preparatory meetings, at start of work, and during installation.

**Section 16 Effectiveness of Management:**

a. b. From upper management down to the company's workers in the field, the contractor's cooperation, responsiveness and professionalism made this project a great experience for both USACE and NAVY alike. This was a high visibility project as the first project that USACE Philadelphia District has done for the Navy Shipyard. The contractor responded immediately whenever a situation was brought to their attention that needed to be addressed, even if it required scheduling an off-hour testing of the alarm system or off-hour working on the sprinkler system. Kalimex always worked around the availability of the Navy personnel so as not to interrupt or inconvenience the Navy's work force that

## PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

CONTRACT NUMBER  
W912BU-05-C-0013 NA

occupied the building outside of the work limits.

Correction of Deficiencies - Deficiencies were recorded as part of the bi-weekly progress meeting not just documented on some list that was not utilized. This was discussed at the Progress meeting and records will show that very few ever extended over 2 weeks. The site management took pride in keeping the list down to a minimum. c. e. The quality and experience of sub-contractors, especially Power Engineering (Mechanical and plumbing), that were hired on by Kalimex were some of the most professional contractors I have ever seen in all my years of working in the construction business. The end result speaks for itself. Testing of all installed equipment went extremely well with very few adjustments needed. This is contributed to the sub-contractor's and Kalimex working together, and great coordination and management from all levels.

#### Section 17 Timely Performance

a. b. c. d. e. Initial Progress Schedule allowed the contractor to complete the project by mid July 2006. Contractor approved schedule stated he would be completed by April 12, 2006 (3 months ahead of original completion date) The Navy decided to exercise some of the options that were not originally awarded and add modifications to the contract. This would allow the contractor to extend his completion date but he took on the added work and completed within the April 12, 2006 date.

#### Section 18 Compliance with Labor Standards

a. Correction of Deficiencies - Deficiencies were recorded as part of the bi-weekly progress meeting not just documented on some list that was rarely used. All deficiencies were discussed at the Progress meeting and records will show that very few ever that extended over 2 weeks. The site management took pride in keeping the list down to minimum.